

A Bayesian Approach to Predicting Occupational Transitions



Lilia Chang
AtheyLab
Stanford University
lilia.chang@stanford.edu

Lisa Simon
AtheyLab
Stanford University
lksimon@stanford.edu

Karthik Rajkumar
AtheyLab
Stanford University
krajkumar@stanford.edu

1 Introduction

The future of the labor market is uncertain for many, particularly for low-wage workers in sectors that technology has already begun to disrupt. Workers displaced by AI technologies will be forced to transition to new jobs. For this reason we are interested in understanding what factors make job transitions more likely and estimating the “lifetime values” for a person starting out in a particular “state” defined by education level and current occupation.

Adapting Athey, et. al.’s Bayesian, Poisson choice model for person-restaurant choice, we model a person’s one-year transition between states. This model estimates latent variables for time, individual characteristics, start and end state attributes, which allows for faster computation time and personalization of predictions compared to other discrete choice models.

4 Models

We model this problem as a persona state (occupation x education level) choosing their next persona state. The attributes of personas are taken as the mean of individuals in that persona.

We limit the number of potential choices available at each starting persona state to only those destination personas that we observe actual transitions to in the data.

In the course of this project we have tried the following models.

(a) Travel-Time Factorization Model (Athey, et. al. 2017)

TTFM estimates a persona i ’s utility of transitioning to persona j at time t as

$$U_{ijt} = \underbrace{\theta_i^T \beta_j}_{\text{Latent-Latent intercept}} + \underbrace{W_i^T \rho_j}_{\text{start-state observables}} + \underbrace{\sigma_i^T X_j}_{\text{end-state observables}} + \underbrace{\mu_i^T \delta_{w_{ijt}}}_{\text{time-varying effect}} + \underbrace{\epsilon_{ijt}}_{\text{noise}}$$

such that the probability of persona i choosing j at time t is

$$p(y_{it} = j) \propto \exp\{U_{ijt}\}$$

As with most Bayesian models, the exact posterior over the latent variables is not available in closed form. As Athey, et. al. do, we approximate using variational inference, namely by minimizing Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence or equivalently, maximizing the evidenced lower bound (ELBO):

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathcal{H})} [\log p(y, \mathcal{H}) - \log q(\mathcal{H})]$$

(b) Conditional Logit Model

The utility for conditional logit does not have time-varying or latent-latent terms. Additionally, due to the size of the attribute space we reduce the number of estimated variables by taking the Euclidean distance with the mean attributes of the end-state.

$$U_{ijt} = \underbrace{\alpha_j}_{\text{end state intercept}} + \underbrace{\beta_j \cdot d_{ijt}}_{\text{distance effect}}$$

Then the probability of the choice is computed using softmax.

4 (cont.)

For these models, we classified individual persons to occupations a baseline metric.

(c) “Interaction” Logit Model

Instead of the classical multinomial model we have features representing present occupation x attribute terms.

(d) Classical multinomial logit model

5 Data

Current Population Survey (CPS) dataset: a national U.S. labor force survey, from the years 1991-2018. Each example in the data consists of a person and their characteristics (note: we only observe a person’s occupation for two years). Features include age, sex, income, race, education level.

Autor and Dorn Occupation indices: We have supplemented the CPS data with the dataset produced by Autor and Dorn in their 2013 analysis of U.S. occupations. We specifically include their indices on an occupation’s level of “abstract,” “routine,” and “manual” work.

We split the data into 70% train, 20% test, 10% validation.

- Years: 1991 - 2018
- Number of individuals: 1,670,526
- Possible years of education: 11
- Number of occupations: ~400

5 Results

It should be noted that this is essentially a classification problem for on average, a possibility set of size **236 end states** per start state (with standard deviation 169). The best TTFM model was trained with 3000 iterations, decaying learning rate, dimension 10 for the latent vectors on time, and dimension 20 for the latent vectors per persona.

	Accuracy	Log-likelihood	F1	Precision	Recall	Train size
Train	0.366	-116.9	0.22	0.386	0.16	1670526
Test	0.364	-118.1	0.24	0.367	0.18	334013

Due to the limitations of the baseline models we could only train on a 10% sub-sample of the data.

Baseline Model	Train, test accuracy	Train, test size	Num features
Interaction Logit	3.3%, 3.0%	150000, 150000	25 * 20
Classical Multinom	2.5%, 2.4%	150000, 150000	20

At the time of reporting, our conditional logit model, using Stata’s off-the-shelf program, was unable to converge.

6 Future Work

The fact that the TTFM can train on the full set of data is already significant improvement to the other logit models. Our accuracy and F1/precision/recall scores are good considering the complexity of the decision space. We still wish to have a comparable baseline and so going forth we will implement the logit models instead of using off-the-shelf packages. We also plan on including a distance factor for the TTFM utility, similar to our currently conditional logit utility, but with a Mahalanobis distance, to incorporate individual-level characteristics (instead of mean characteristics).

7 References

- (1) Athey, S., Blei, D., Donnelly, R., Ruiz, F., Schmidt, T.: Estimating heterogeneous consumer preferences for restaurants and travel time using mobile location data. American Economic Association Papers and Proceedings 108(01 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1257/pandp.20181031> (2) Autor, D.H., Dorn, D.: The growth of low-skill service jobs and the polarization of the us labor market. American Economic review 103(5), 1553-97 (August 2013). <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.103.5.1553>, <http://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.103.5.1553> (3) Tsuge, S., Shishibori, M., Kuroiwa, S. Kita, K.: Dimensionality reduction using non-negative matrix factorization for information retrieval. In: 2001 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics. e-Systems and e-Man for Cybernetics in Cyberspace (Cat.No.01CH37236). vol. 2, pp. 960-965 vol.2 (Oct 2001). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSMC.2001.973042> (4) Ruiz, F., Athey, S., Blei, D.: SHOPPER: A Probabilistic Model of Consumer Choice with Substitutes and Complements. Annals of Applied Statistics. (Nov 2017). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.03560v3>