# <span id="page-0-0"></span>CS229 Section: Linear Algebra

## Nandita Bhaskhar

Slides adapted from past CS229 teams

April 1, 2022

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-93-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 1/64

Þ

 $2990$ 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

# Outline

## **1** [Basic Concepts and Notation](#page-1-0)

- **2** [Matrix Multiplication](#page-6-0)
- **3** [Operations and Properties](#page-17-0)
- 4 [Matrix Calculus](#page-76-0)

÷.

 $2990$ 

メロメ メタメ メミメ メミメ

# Basic Concepts and Notation

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 3/64

Þ

 $2990$ 

# Basic Notation

By  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote a vector with *n* entries.

$$
x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}
$$

By  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  we denote a matrix with  $m$  rows and  $n$  columns, where the entries of  $A$  are real numbers.

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ a^1 & a^2 & \cdots & a^n \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - & a_1^T & - \\ - & a_2^T & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ - & a_m^T & - \\ - & a_m^T & - \end{bmatrix}.
$$

# The Identity Matrix

The *identity matrix*, denoted  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , is a square matrix with ones on the diagonal and zeros everywhere else. That is,

$$
I_{ij}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}1 & i=j\\0 & i\neq j\end{array}\right.
$$

It has the property that for all  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,

$$
AI = A = IA.
$$

э

 $2990$ 

# Diagonal matrices

A *diagonal matrix* is a matrix where all non-diagonal elements are 0. This is typically denoted  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ , with

$$
D_{ij}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}d_i & i=j\\0 & i\neq j\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly,  $I = diag(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ .

Þ

 $2Q$ 

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>Outline

### **1 [Basic Concepts and Notation](#page-1-0)**

## **2** [Matrix Multiplication](#page-6-0)

**3** [Operations and Properties](#page-17-0)

4 [Matrix Calculus](#page-76-0)

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 7/64

Þ

 $2Q$ 

## Vector-Vector Product

• inner product or dot product

$$
x^T y \in \mathbb{R} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i.
$$

o outer product

$$
xy^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1y_1 & x_1y_2 & \cdots & x_1y_n \\ x_2y_1 & x_2y_2 & \cdots & x_2y_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_my_1 & x_my_2 & \cdots & x_my_n \end{bmatrix}.
$$

÷.

 $2Q$ 

 $\bullet$  If we write A by rows, then we can express Ax as,

$$
y = Ax = \begin{bmatrix} - & a_1^T & - \\ - & a_2^T & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & a_m^T & - \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^T x \\ a_2^T x \\ \vdots \\ a_m^T x \end{bmatrix}.
$$

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 9/64

÷.

 $2Q$ 

メロメ メタメ メミメ メミメ

 $\bullet$  If we write A by columns, then we have:

$$
y = Ax = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ a^1 & a^2 & \cdots & a^n \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^1 \\ a^2 \end{bmatrix} x_1 + \begin{bmatrix} a^2 \\ a^2 \end{bmatrix} x_2 + \cdots + \begin{bmatrix} a^n \\ a^n \end{bmatrix} x_n \quad .
$$
\n
$$
(1)
$$

 $y$  is a *linear combination* of the *columns* of  $A$ .

重。

 $2Q$ 

It is also possible to multiply on the left by a row vector.

If we write  $A$  by columns, then we can express  $x^\top A$  as,

$$
y^T = x^T A = x^T \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ a^1 & a^2 & \cdots & a^n \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^T a^1 & x^T a^2 & \cdots & x^T a^n \end{bmatrix}
$$

÷,

 $2Q$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$  ,  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

It is also possible to multiply on the left by a row vector.

 $\bullet$  expressing A in terms of rows we have:

$$
y^{T} = x^{T} A = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & a_{1}^{T} & - \\ - & a_{2}^{T} & - \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ - & a_{m}^{T} & - \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
=  $x_{1} \begin{bmatrix} - & a_{1}^{T} & - \end{bmatrix} + x_{2} \begin{bmatrix} - & a_{2}^{T} & - \end{bmatrix} + ... + x_{m} \begin{bmatrix} - & a_{m}^{T} & - \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $y^\mathcal{T}$  is a linear combination of the *rows* of A.

÷,

 $2Q$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$  ,  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

.

# Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (different views)

#### 1. As a set of vector-vector products (dot product)

$$
C = AB = \begin{bmatrix} - & a_1^T & - \\ - & a_2^T & - \\ & & \vdots & \\ - & a_m^T & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ b^1 & b^2 & \cdots & b^p \\ & & | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^Tb^1 & a_1^Tb^2 & \cdots & a_1^Tb^p \\ a_2^Tb^1 & a_2^Tb^2 & \cdots & a_2^Tb^p \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_m^Tb^1 & a_m^Tb^2 & \cdots & a_m^Tb^p \end{bmatrix}
$$

÷,

 $2Q$ 

# Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (different views)

2. As a sum of outer products

$$
C = AB = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ a^{1} & a^{2} & \cdots & a^{p} \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & b_{1}^{T} & - \\ - & b_{2}^{T} & - \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ - & b_{p}^{T} & - \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a^{i} b_{i}^{T}.
$$

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 14/64

÷,

 $2Q$ 

# Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (different views)

3. As a set of matrix-vector products.

$$
C = AB = A \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ b^{1} & b^{2} & \cdots & b^{n} \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ Ab^{1} & Ab^{2} & \cdots & Ab^{n} \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (2)

Here the *i*th column of C is given by the matrix-vector product with the vector on the right,  $c_i = A b_i$ . These matrix-vector products can in turn be interpreted using both viewpoints given in the previous subsection.

 $2Q$ Georgia

# Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (different views)

4. As a set of vector-matrix products.

$$
C = AB = \begin{bmatrix} - & a_1^T & - \\ - & a_2^T & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & a_m^T & - \end{bmatrix} B = \begin{bmatrix} - & a_1^T B & - \\ - & a_2^T B & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & a_m^T B & - \end{bmatrix}
$$

÷,

 $2Q$ 

.

# Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (properties)

- Associative:  $(AB)C = A(BC)$ .
- Distributive:  $A(B+C) = AB + AC$ .
- In general, not commutative; that is, it can be the case that  $AB \neq BA$ . (For example, if  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$ , the matrix product  $B\overline{A}$  does not even exist if  $m$  and  $q$  are not equal!)

 $2Q$ 重.

# <span id="page-17-0"></span>Outline

- **1 [Basic Concepts and Notation](#page-1-0)**
- **2** [Matrix Multiplication](#page-6-0)
- **3** [Operations and Properties](#page-17-0)
- 4 [Matrix Calculus](#page-76-0)

÷,

 $2Q$ 

# Operations and Properties

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 19/64

÷.

 $2990$ 

# The Transpose

The *transpose* of a matrix results from "flipping" the rows and columns. Given a matrix  $A\in\R^{m\times n}$ , its transpose, written  $A^{\mathcal{T}}\in\R^{n\times m}$ , is the  $n\times m$  matrix whose entries are given by

$$
(A^{\mathsf{T}})_{ij}=A_{ji}.
$$

The following properties of transposes are easily verified:

- $(A^{\mathcal{T}})^{\mathcal{T}}=A$
- $(A B)^{\mathsf{T}} = B^{\mathsf{T}} A^{\mathsf{T}}$
- $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$

 $QQ$ œ.

## **Trace**

The *trace* of a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , denoted trA, is the sum of diagonal elements in the matrix:

$$
tr A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii}.
$$

The trace has the following properties:

- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\text{tr}A = \text{tr}A^{T}$ .
- For  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $tr(A + B) = trA + trB$ .
- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $tr(tA) = t$  tr $A$ .
- For A, B such that AB is square,  $trAB = trBA$ .
- For A, B, C such that ABC is square,  $trABC = trBCA = trCAB$ , and so on for the product of more matrices.

 $2990$ ≘.



More formally, a norm is any function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies 4 properties:

- 1. For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f(x) \ge 0$  (non-negativity).
- 2.  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$  (definiteness).
- 3. For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(tx) = |t|f(x)$  (homogeneity).
- 4. For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  (triangle inequality).

 $QQ$ œ.

# Examples of Norms

The commonly-used Euclidean or  $\ell_2$  norm,

$$
||x||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}.
$$

The  $\ell_1$  norm,

$$
||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|
$$

The  $\ell_{\infty}$  norm,

$$
||x||_{\infty} = \max_i |x_i|.
$$

#### [CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 23 / 64

÷,

 $2990$ 

メロトメ 伊 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

# Examples of Norms

In fact, all three norms presented so far are examples of the family of  $\ell_p$  norms, which are parameterized by a real number  $p > 1$ , and defined as

$$
||x||_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}.
$$

÷.

 $2990$ 

# Matrix Norms

Norms can also be defined for matrices, such as the Frobenius norm,

$$
||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}^2} = \sqrt{\text{tr}(A^T A)}.
$$

Many other norms exist, but they are beyond the scope of this review.

э

 $2990$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$  ,  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

# Linear Independence

A set of vectors  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is said to be *(linearly) dependent* if one vector belonging to the set can be represented as a linear combination of the remaining vectors; that is, if

$$
x_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i x_i
$$

for some scalar values  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ ; otherwise, the vectors are *(linearly) independent*.

œ.

 $2990$ 

# Linear Independence

A set of vectors  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is said to be *(linearly) dependent* if one vector belonging to the set can be represented as a linear combination of the remaining vectors; that is, if

$$
x_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i x_i
$$

for some scalar values  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ ; otherwise, the vectors are *(linearly) independent*. Example:

$$
x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

are linearly dependent because  $x_3 = -2x_1 + x_2$ .

重。

 $2990$ 

# Rank of a Matrix

The column rank of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the largest number of columns of A that constitute a linearly independent set.

重

 $2990$ 

# Rank of a Matrix

- The column rank of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the largest number of columns of A that constitute a linearly independent set.
- $\bullet$  The row rank is the largest number of rows of A that constitute a linearly independent set.

∍.

 $2990$ 

# Rank of a Matrix

- The column rank of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the largest number of columns of A that constitute a linearly independent set.
- $\bullet$  The row rank is the largest number of rows of A that constitute a linearly independent set.
- For any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , it turns out that the column rank of  $A$  is equal to the row rank of A (prove it yourself!), and so both quantities are referred to collectively as the rank of A, denoted as  $\text{rank}(A)$ .

ヨー  $2990$ 

[Basic Concepts and Notation](#page-1-0) **[Matrix Multiplication](#page-6-0) [Operations and Properties](#page-17-0)** ([Matrix Calculus](#page-76-0) operations and Operations and Properties (Matrix Calculus operations and Properties (Matrix Calculus operations and Propertie

# Properties of the Rank

For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) \le \min(m, n)$ . If  $\text{rank}(A) = \min(m, n)$ , then A is said to be full rank.

重。  $2990$ 

[Basic Concepts and Notation](#page-1-0) **[Matrix Multiplication](#page-6-0) [Operations and Properties](#page-17-0)** ([Matrix Calculus](#page-76-0) operations and Operations and Properties (Matrix Calculus operations and Properties (Matrix Calculus operations and Propertie

# Properties of the Rank

- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) \le \min(m, n)$ . If  $\text{rank}(A) = \min(m, n)$ , then A is said to be full rank.
- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^{\mathcal{T}})$ .

重し  $2990$ 

# Properties of the Rank

- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) \le \min(m, n)$ . If  $\text{rank}(A) = \min(m, n)$ , then A is said to be full rank.
- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^{\mathcal{T}})$ .
- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(AB) \le \min(\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)).$

 $\mathbf{F}$   $\Omega$ 

# Properties of the Rank

- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) \le \min(m, n)$ . If  $\text{rank}(A) = \min(m, n)$ , then A is said to be full rank.
- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^{\mathcal{T}})$ .
- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(AB) \le \min(\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)).$
- For  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , rank $(A + B) \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$ .

KED KARD KED KED E VOQO

# The Inverse of a Square Matrix

The  $\boldsymbol{i}$ nverse of a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is denoted  $A^{-1}$ , and is the unique matrix such that

$$
A^{-1}A=I=AA^{-1}.
$$

重

 $2990$ 

# The Inverse of a Square Matrix

The  $\boldsymbol{i}$ nverse of a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is denoted  $A^{-1}$ , and is the unique matrix such that

$$
A^{-1}A=I=AA^{-1}.
$$

We say that A is *invertible* or non-singular if A $^{-1}$  exists and non-invertible or singular otherwise.

重し  $2990$
# <span id="page-36-0"></span>The Inverse of a Square Matrix

The  $\boldsymbol{i}$ nverse of a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is denoted  $A^{-1}$ , and is the unique matrix such that

$$
A^{-1}A=I=AA^{-1}.
$$

- We say that A is *invertible* or non-singular if A $^{-1}$  exists and non-invertible or singular otherwise.
- In order for a square matrix A to have an inverse  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ , then A must be full rank.

ヨー  $2990$ 

# The Inverse of a Square Matrix

The  $\boldsymbol{i}$ nverse of a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is denoted  $A^{-1}$ , and is the unique matrix such that

$$
A^{-1}A=I=AA^{-1}.
$$

- We say that A is *invertible* or non-singular if A $^{-1}$  exists and non-invertible or singular otherwise.
- In order for a square matrix A to have an inverse  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ , then A must be full rank.
- Properties (Assuming  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are non-singular):

► 
$$
(A^{-1})^{-1} = A
$$
  
►  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ 

►  $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$  $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$  $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$ [.](#page-38-0) For this reason this matrix is often denoted  $A^{-T}_{-}$ .

 $OQ$ 

# <span id="page-38-0"></span>Orthogonal Matrices

- Two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are *orthogonal* if  $x^T y = 0$ .
- A vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is **normalized** if  $||x||_2 = 1$ .
- A square matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is  $\boldsymbol{orthogonal}$  if all its columns are orthogonal to each other and are normalized (the columns are then referred to as being *orthonormal*).

G.

 $2990$ 

## Orthogonal Matrices

- Two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are *orthogonal* if  $x^T y = 0$ .
- A vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is **normalized** if  $||x||_2 = 1$ .
- A square matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is  $\boldsymbol{orthogonal}$  if all its columns are orthogonal to each other and are normalized (the columns are then referred to as being *orthonormal*).

- Properties:
	- $\triangleright$  The inverse of an orthogonal matrix is its transpose.

 $U^T U = I = U U^T$ .

 $QQ$ Georgia

## Orthogonal Matrices

- Two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are *orthogonal* if  $x^T y = 0$ .
- A vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is **normalized** if  $||x||_2 = 1$ .
- A square matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is  $\boldsymbol{orthogonal}$  if all its columns are orthogonal to each other and are normalized (the columns are then referred to as being *orthonormal*).

#### • Properties:

 $\blacktriangleright$  The inverse of an orthogonal matrix is its transpose.

$$
U^T U = I = U U^T.
$$

▶ Operating on a vector with an orthogonal matrix will not change its Euclidean norm, i.e.,

$$
\|Ux\|_2 = \|x\|_2
$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  orthogonal.

KED KARD KED KED E VOQO

## Span and Projection

• The span of a set of vectors  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$  is the set of all vectors that can be expressed as a linear combination of  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . That is,

$$
\mathrm{span}(\{x_1,\ldots x_n\})=\left\{v:v=\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_ix_i,\ \alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}\right\}.
$$

重

 $2990$ 

## Span and Projection

• The span of a set of vectors  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$  is the set of all vectors that can be expressed as a linear combination of  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . That is,

$$
\mathrm{span}(\{x_1,\ldots x_n\})=\left\{v:v=\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_ix_i,\ \alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}\right\}.
$$

The *projection* of a vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  onto the span of  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  is the vector  $v \in \text{span}(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\})$ , such that v is as close as possible to y, as measured by the Euclidean norm  $||v - v||_2$ .

$$
\operatorname{Proj}(y; \{x_1, \ldots x_n\}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \operatorname{span}\{\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\}} \|y - v\|_2.
$$

GB 11  $2990$ 

## Range

The  $\bm{range}$  or the column space of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ , is the the span of the columns of A. In other words,

$$
\mathcal{R}(A) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : v = Ax, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.
$$

重

 $2990$ 

# Range

The  $\bm{range}$  or the column space of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ , is the the span of the columns of A. In other words,

$$
\mathcal{R}(A) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : v = Ax, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.
$$

Assuming A is full rank and  $n < m$ , the projection of a vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  onto the range of  $A$ is given by,

$$
Proj(y; A) = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{R}(A)} ||v - y||_2.
$$

重し  $2990$ 

# <span id="page-45-0"></span>Null space

The nullspace of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  is the set of all vectors that equal 0 when multiplied by A, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{N}(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax = 0\}.
$$

重

 $2990$ 

#### <span id="page-46-0"></span>The Determinant

The **determinant** of a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , is a function  $\det : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ , and is denoted  $|A|$  or  $\det A$ . Given a matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix} - & a_1^T & - \\ - & a_2^T & - \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & a_n^T & - \end{bmatrix},
$$

consider the set of points  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  as follows:

$$
S = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \text{ where } 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, i = 1, \ldots, n\}.
$$

The absolute value of the determinant of A is a measure of the "vol[um](#page-45-0)e["](#page-47-0) [o](#page-45-0)[f t](#page-46-0)[h](#page-47-0)[e](#page-16-0)[se](#page-75-0)[t](#page-76-0)  $S$ [.](#page-75-0)

 $\Omega$ 

(3)

## <span id="page-47-0"></span>The Determinant: Intuition

For example, consider the  $2 \times 2$  matrix,

$$
A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{array} \right]
$$

Here, the rows of the matrix are

$$
a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 35 / 64

÷,

 $2990$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$  ,  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

Algebraically, the determinant satisfies the following three properties:

1. The determinant of the identity is 1,  $|I| = 1$ . (Geometrically, the volume of a unit hypercube is 1).

÷.

 $2990$ 

Algebraically, the determinant satisfies the following three properties:

- 1. The determinant of the identity is 1,  $|I| = 1$ . (Geometrically, the volume of a unit hypercube is 1).
- 2. Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , if we multiply a single row in  $A$  by a scalar  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the determinant of the new matrix is  $t|A|$ , (Geometrically, multiplying one of the sides of the set S by a factor  $t$  causes the volume to increase by a factor  $t$ .)

 $2990$ GH.

Algebraically, the determinant satisfies the following three properties:

- 1. The determinant of the identity is 1,  $|I| = 1$ . (Geometrically, the volume of a unit hypercube is 1).
- 2. Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , if we multiply a single row in  $A$  by a scalar  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the determinant of the new matrix is  $t|A|$ , (Geometrically, multiplying one of the sides of the set S by a factor  $t$  causes the volume to increase by a factor  $t$ .)
- 3. If we exchange any two rows  $a_i^{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $a_j^{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $A$ , then the determinant of the new matrix is −|A|, for example

KED KAP KED KED E MAA

Algebraically, the determinant satisfies the following three properties:

- 1. The determinant of the identity is 1,  $|I| = 1$ . (Geometrically, the volume of a unit hypercube is 1).
- 2. Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , if we multiply a single row in  $A$  by a scalar  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the determinant of the new matrix is  $t|A|$ , (Geometrically, multiplying one of the sides of the set S by a factor  $t$  causes the volume to increase by a factor  $t$ .)
- 3. If we exchange any two rows  $a_i^{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $a_j^{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $A$ , then the determinant of the new matrix is −|A|, for example

KED KAP KED KED E MAA

Algebraically, the determinant satisfies the following three properties:

- 1. The determinant of the identity is 1,  $|I| = 1$ . (Geometrically, the volume of a unit hypercube is 1).
- 2. Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , if we multiply a single row in  $A$  by a scalar  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the determinant of the new matrix is  $t|A|$ , (Geometrically, multiplying one of the sides of the set S by a factor  $t$  causes the volume to increase by a factor  $t$ .)
- 3. If we exchange any two rows  $a_i^{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $a_j^{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $A$ , then the determinant of the new matrix is −|A|, for example

In case you are wondering, it is not immediately obvious that a function satisfying the above three properties exists. In fact, though, such a function does exist, and is unique (which we will not prove here).

KED KARD KED KED E VOQO

- <span id="page-53-0"></span>For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $|A| = |A^T|$ .
- For  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $|AB| = |A||B|$ .
- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $|A| = 0$  if and only if A is singular (i.e., non-invertible). (If A is singular then it does not have full rank, and hence its columns are linearly dependent. In this case, the set S corresponds to a "flat sheet" within the n-dimensional space and hence has zero volume.)
- For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and A non-singular,  $|A^{-1}| = 1/|A|$ .

KED KAP KED KED E MAA

## <span id="page-54-0"></span>The Determinant: Formula

Let  $A\in\R^{n\times n}$ ,  $A_{\backslash i,\backslash j}\in\R^{(n-1)\times (n-1)}$  be the *matrix* that results from deleting the *i*th row and ith column from A.

The general (recursive) formula for the determinant is

$$
|A| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} |A_{\setminus i, \setminus j}| \quad \text{(for any } j \in 1, \ldots, n)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} |A_{\setminus i, \setminus j}| \quad \text{(for any } i \in 1, \ldots, n)
$$

with the initial case that  $|{\cal A}|=s_{11}$  for  ${\cal A}\in{\mathbb R}^{1\times 1}.$  If we were to expand this formula completely for  $A\in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ , there would be a total of n! (n factorial) different terms. For this reason, we hardly ever explicitly write the complete equation of the determinant for m[atr](#page-53-0)i[ce](#page-55-0)[s](#page-53-0) [bi](#page-54-0)[g](#page-16-0)g[e](#page-17-0)[r](#page-75-0) [t](#page-76-0)[h](#page-16-0)[a](#page-17-0)[n](#page-75-0)  $3 \times 3$  $3 \times 3$ .

## <span id="page-55-0"></span>The Determinant: Examples

However, the equations for determinants of matrices up to size  $3 \times 3$  are fairly common, and it is good to know them:

$$
|[a_{11}]| = a_{11}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{vmatrix}\na_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}\n\end{vmatrix}\n= a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{vmatrix}\na_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}\n\end{vmatrix}\n= a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}
$$
\n
$$
-a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}
$$

÷.

 $2990$ 

## Quadratic Forms

Given a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the scalar value  $x^T A x$  is called a quadratic form. Written explicitly, we see that

$$
x^T A x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (Ax)_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_i x_j.
$$

÷.

 $2990$ 

## Quadratic Forms

Given a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the scalar value  $x^T A x$  is called a quadratic form. Written explicitly, we see that

$$
x^T A x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (Ax)_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_i x_j.
$$

We often implicitly assume that the matrices appearing in a quadratic form are symmetric.

$$
x^T A x = (x^T A x)^T = x^T A^T x = x^T \left(\frac{1}{2}A + \frac{1}{2}A^T\right) x,
$$

 $2990$ Georgia

# Positive Semidefinite Matrices

- A symmetric matrix  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$  is:
	- $\bm{positive}$   $\bm{definite}$   $(\bm{\mathsf{PD}})$ , denoted  $A \succ 0$  if for all non-zero vectors  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x^T A x > 0$ .
	- $\bm{positive}$  semidefinite (PSD), denoted  $A \succeq 0$  if for all vectors  $x^T A x \geq 0.$
	- negative definite (ND), denoted  $A \prec 0$  if for all non-zero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x^T Ax < 0$ .
	- negative semidefinite (NSD), denoted  $A\preceq 0$  ) if for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x^T Ax\leq 0.$
	- $\bullet$  *indefinite*, if it is neither positive semidefinite nor negative semidefinite i.e., if there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $x_1^T A x_1 > 0$  and  $x_2^T A x_2 < 0$ .

KED KARD KED KED E VOQO

# Positive Semidefinite Matrices

- One important property of positive definite and negative definite matrices is that they are always full rank, and hence, invertible.
- Given any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  (not necessarily symmetric or even square), the matrix  $G = A^T A$  (sometimes called a Gram matrix) is always positive semidefinite. Further, if  $m\geq n$  and A is full rank, then  $\mathsf{G}=\mathsf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{A}$  is positive definite.

 $QQ$ 

# Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Given a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we say that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue of A and  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is the corresponding eigenvector if

$$
Ax=\lambda x, \quad x\neq 0.
$$

Intuitively, this definition means that multiplying  $A$  by the vector  $x$  results in a new vector that points in the same direction as x, but scaled by a factor  $\lambda$ .

 $2990$ 

#### Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

We can rewrite the equation above to state that  $(\lambda, x)$  is an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of A if,

$$
(\lambda I - A)x = 0, \quad x \neq 0.
$$

But  $(\lambda I - A)x = 0$  has a non-zero solution to x if and only if  $(\lambda I - A)$  has a non-empty nullspace, which is only the case if  $(\lambda I - A)$  is singular, i.e.,

$$
|(\lambda I - A)| = 0.
$$

We can now use the previous definition of the determinant to expand this expression  $|(\lambda I - A)|$ into a (very large) polynomial in  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  will have degree n. It's often called the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A.

KED KAP KED KED E MAA

• The trace of a A is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues,

$$
\mathrm{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.
$$

э

 $2990$ 

 $\bullet$  The trace of a A is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues,

$$
\mathrm{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.
$$

• The determinant of A is equal to the product of its eigenvalues,

$$
|A|=\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_i.
$$

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 145 / 64

 $2990$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$  ,  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

 $\bullet$  The trace of a A is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues,

$$
\mathrm{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.
$$

• The determinant of A is equal to the product of its eigenvalues,

$$
|A|=\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_i.
$$

• The rank of A is equal to the number of non-zero eigenvalues of A.

э

 $2990$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$  ,  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

 $\bullet$  The trace of a A is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues,

$$
\mathrm{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.
$$

• The determinant of A is equal to the product of its eigenvalues,

$$
|A|=\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_i.
$$

- The rank of A is equal to the number of non-zero eigenvalues of A.
- Suppose A is non-singular with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and an associated eigenvector x. Then  $1/\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^{-1}$  with an associated eigenvector x, i.e.,  $A^{-1}x = (1/\lambda)x$ .

 $\mathbf{F}$   $\Omega$ 

 $\bullet$  The trace of a A is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues,

$$
\mathrm{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.
$$

• The determinant of A is equal to the product of its eigenvalues,

$$
|A|=\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_i.
$$

- The rank of A is equal to the number of non-zero eigenvalues of A.
- Suppose A is non-singular with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and an associated eigenvector x. Then  $1/\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^{-1}$  with an associated eigenvector x, i.e.,  $A^{-1}x = (1/\lambda)x$ .
- The eigenvalues of a diagonal matrix  $D = diag(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  are just the diagonal entries  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ . イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト 重し

 $2990$ 

## Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of Symmetric Matrices

Throughout this section, let's assume that  $A$  is a symmetric real matrix (i.e.,  $A^\top = A$ ). We have the following properties:

- 1. All eigenvalues of A are real numbers. We denote them by  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ .
- 2. There exists a set of eigenvectors  $u_1,\ldots,u_n$  such that (i) for all  $i,~u_i$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  and (ii)  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  are unit vectors and orthogonal to each other.

GB 11  $2990$ 

## New Representation for Symmetric Matrices

Let  $U$  be the orthonormal matrix that contains  $u_i$ 's as columns:

$$
U = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} | & | & | & | \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_n \\ | & | & | & | \end{array} \right]
$$

Þ

 $2990$ 

#### New Representation for Symmetric Matrices

Let  $U$  be the orthonormal matrix that contains  $u_i$ 's as columns:

$$
U = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} | & | & | & | \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_n \\ | & | & | & | \end{array} \right]
$$

• Let  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  be the diagonal matrix that contains  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ .  $AU =$  $\lceil$  $\overline{1}$ | | |  $Au_1$   $Au_2$   $\cdots$   $Au_n$ | | | 1  $\Big\} =$  $\lceil$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | | |  $\lambda_1u_1$   $\lambda_2u_2$   $\cdots$   $\lambda_nu_n$ | | | 1  $= U \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = U \Lambda$ 

KED KARD KED KED E VOQO

## New Representation for Symmetric Matrices

Let  $U$  be the orthonormal matrix that contains  $u_i$ 's as columns:

$$
U = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} | & | & & | \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_n \\ | & | & & | \end{array} \right]
$$

• Let  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  be the diagonal matrix that contains  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ .  $AU =$  $\lceil$  $\overline{1}$ | | |  $Au_1$   $Au_2$   $\cdots$   $Au_n$ | | | 1  $\Big\} =$  $\lceil$  $\overline{1}$ | | |  $\lambda_1u_1$   $\lambda_2u_2$   $\cdots$   $\lambda_nu_n$ | | | 1  $= U \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = U \Lambda$ 

• Recalling that orthonormal matrix U satisfies that  $UU^T = I$ , we can diagonalize matrix A:

$$
A = A U U^T = U \Lambda U^T \tag{4}
$$

KED KARD KED KED E VOQO

#### Background: representing vector w.r.t. another basis

• Any orthonormal matrix 
$$
U = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_n \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix}
$$
 defines a new basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

For any vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be represented as a linear combination of  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  with coefficient  $\hat{x}_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_n$ :

$$
x = \hat{x}_1 u_1 + \cdots + \hat{x}_n u_n = U\hat{x}
$$

• Indeed, such  $\hat{x}$  uniquely exists

$$
x = U\hat{x} \Leftrightarrow U^T x = \hat{x}
$$

In other words, the vector  $\hat{x} = U^{\mathsf{T}} x$  can serve as another representation of the vector  $x$ w.r.t the basis defined by U. イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト ヨー  $2990$
# "Diagonalizing" matrix-vector multiplication

- $\bullet$  Left-multiplying matrix A can be viewed as left-multiplying a diagonal matrix w.r.t the basic of the eigenvectors.
	- ▶ Suppose x is a vector and  $\hat{x}$  is its representation w.r.t to the basis of U.
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Let  $z = Ax$  be the matrix-vector product.
	- $\triangleright$  the representation z w.r.t the basis of U:

$$
\hat{z} = U^T z = U^T A x = U^T U \Lambda U^T x = \Lambda \hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \hat{x}_1 \\ \lambda_2 \hat{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \hat{x}_n \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $\bullet$  We see that left-multiplying matrix A in the original space is equivalent to left-multiplying the diagonal matrix Λ w.r.t the new basis, which is merely scaling each coordinate by the corresponding eigenvalue. イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト ヨー  $2990$ 

# "Diagonalizing" matrix-vector multiplication

Under the new basis, multiplying a matrix multiple times becomes much simpler as well. For example, suppose  $q = AAAx$ .

$$
\hat{q} = U^T q = U^T A A A x = U^T U \Lambda U^T U \Lambda U^T U \Lambda U^T x = \Lambda^3 \hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^3 \hat{x}_1 \\ \lambda_2^3 \hat{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n^3 \hat{x}_n \end{bmatrix}
$$

÷.

 $2990$ 

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

# "Diagonalizing" quadratic form

As a directly corollary, the quadratic form  $\mathsf{x}^\mathcal{T} A \mathsf{x}$  can also be simplified under the new basis

$$
x^T A x = x^T U \Lambda U^T x = \hat{x}^T \Lambda \hat{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \hat{x}_i^2
$$

(Recall that with the old representation,  $x^{\mathcal{T}}Ax = \sum_{i=1,j=1}^n x_i x_j A_{ij}$  involves a sum of  $n^2$  terms instead of  $n$  terms in the equation above.)

ヨー  $QQ$ 

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

# The definiteness of the matrix A depends entirely on the sign of its eigenvalues

- 1. If all  $\lambda_j>0$ , then the matrix  $A$  is positive definite because  $x^\mathcal{ T} A x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \hat x_i^2 >0$  for any  $\hat{x} \neq 0.1$
- 2. If all  $\lambda_i\geq$  0, it is positive semidefinite because  $x^TAx=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\hat{x}_i^2\geq 0$  for all  $\hat{x}.$
- 3. Likewise, if all  $\lambda_i < 0$  or  $\lambda_i < 0$ , then A is negative definite or negative semidefinite respectively.
- 4. Finally, if A has both positive and negative eigenvalues, say  $\lambda_i > 0$  and  $\lambda_i < 0$ , then it is indefinite. This is because if we let  $\hat{x}$  satisfy  $\hat{x}_i = 1$  and  $\hat{x}_k = 0, \forall k \neq i$ , then  $x^{\mathcal{T}}_-$ A $x=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\hat{x}_i^2>0.$  Similarly we can let  $\hat{x}$  satisfy  $\hat{x}_j=1$  and  $\hat{x}_k=0, \forall k\neq j.$  then  $x^T A x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \hat{x}_i^2 < 0.$

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 52 / 64

KED KAP KED KED E MAA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that  $\hat{x} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow x \neq 0$ .

# <span id="page-76-0"></span>Outline

- **1 [Basic Concepts and Notation](#page-1-0)**
- **2** [Matrix Multiplication](#page-6-0)
- **3** [Operations and Properties](#page-17-0)
- 4 [Matrix Calculus](#page-76-0)

÷,

 $2990$ 

メロトメ 倒り メミトメモト

# Matrix Calculus

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 54 / 64

 $\equiv$ 

 $2990$ 

メロメ メタメ メミメ メミメ

# The Gradient

Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function that takes as input a matrix A of size  $m \times n$  and returns a real value. Then the gradient of f (with respect to  $A\in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n})$  is the matrix of partial derivatives, defined as:

$$
\nabla_A f(A) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix}\n\frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{11}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{12}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{1n}} \\
\frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{21}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{22}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{2n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{m1}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{m2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{mn}}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

i.e., an  $m \times n$  matrix with

$$
(\nabla_A f(A))_{ij}=\frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{ij}}.
$$

÷.

 $2990$ 

# The Gradient

Note that the size of  $\nabla_A f(A)$  is always the same as the size of A. So if, in particular, A is just a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}
$$

.

Þ

 $2990$ 

メロトメ 御 トメ ミトメ ミト

## The Gradient

Note that the size of  $\nabla_A f(A)$  is always the same as the size of A. So if, in particular, A is just a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\bigcap$   $\bigcap$   $\bigcap$ 

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}
$$

.

It follows directly from the equivalent properties of partial derivatives that:

$$
\bullet \ \nabla_{\mathsf{x}}(f(\mathsf{x})+g(\mathsf{x})) = \nabla_{\mathsf{x}}f(\mathsf{x})+\nabla_{\mathsf{x}}g(\mathsf{x}).
$$

• For 
$$
t \in \mathbb{R}
$$
,  $\nabla_x(t f(x)) = t \nabla_x f(x)$ .

÷.

 $2990$ 

.

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

# The Hessian

Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function that takes a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and returns a real number. Then the Hessian matrix with respect to x, written  $\nabla^2_x f(x)$  or simply as H is the  $n \times n$  matrix of partial derivatives,

$$
\nabla_{x}^{2} f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{n}} \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{n}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}
$$

In other words,  $\nabla_{\mathsf{x}}^2 f(\mathsf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , with

$$
(\nabla_x^2 f(x))_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.
$$

ヨー  $2990$ 

.

イロン イ押ン イヨン イヨン

# The Hessian

Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function that takes a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and returns a real number. Then the Hessian matrix with respect to x, written  $\nabla^2_x f(x)$  or simply as H is the  $n \times n$  matrix of partial derivatives,

$$
\nabla_{x}^{2} f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{n}} \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{n}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Note that the Hessian is always symmetric, since

$$
\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}.
$$

重。

 $2990$ 

#### Gradients of Linear Functions

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $f(x) = b^T x$  for some known vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$
f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i
$$

so

$$
\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i = b_k.
$$

From this we can easily see that  $\nabla_{\mathsf{x}} b^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{x} = b$ . This should be compared to the analogous situation in single variable calculus, where  $\partial/(\partial x)$  ax = a.

重。

 $2990$ 

#### Gradients of Quadratic Function

Now consider the quadratic function  $f(x) = x^T A x$  for  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$ . Remember that

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_ix_j.
$$

To take the partial derivative, we'll consider the terms including  $x_k$  and  $x_k^2$  factors separately:

$$
\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_i x_j
$$

÷.

 $2990$ 

## Gradients of Quadratic Function

Now consider the quadratic function  $f(x) = x^T A x$  for  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$ . Remember that

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_ix_j.
$$

To take the partial derivative, we'll consider the terms including  $x_k$  and  $x_k^2$  factors separately:

$$
\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_i x_j
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{j \neq k} A_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_{i \neq k} A_{ik} x_i x_k + \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} x_k x_j + A_{kk} x_k^2 \right]
$$

∍

 $QQ$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$  ,  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

 $2990$ 

∍

## Gradients of Quadratic Function

Now consider the quadratic function  $f(x) = x^T A x$  for  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$ . Remember that

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_ix_j.
$$

To take the partial derivative, we'll consider the terms including  $x_k$  and  $x_k^2$  factors separately:

$$
\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_i x_j
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{j \neq k} A_{ij}x_i x_j + \sum_{i \neq k} A_{ik}x_i x_k + \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj}x_k x_j + A_{kk}x_k^2 \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i \neq k} A_{ik}x_i + \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj}x_j + 2A_{kk}x_k
$$

[CS229 Linear Algebra Review Spring 2022](#page-0-0) Nandita Bhaskhar 60 / 64

## Gradients of Quadratic Function

Now consider the quadratic function  $f(x) = x^T A x$  for  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$ . Remember that

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_ix_j.
$$

To take the partial derivative, we'll consider the terms including  $x_k$  and  $x_k^2$  factors separately:

$$
\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_i x_j
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{i \neq k} A_{ik} x_i + \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} x_j + 2A_{kk} x_k
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ik} x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n A_{kj} x_j = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ki} x_i,
$$

# Hessian of Quadratic Functions

Finally, let's look at the Hessian of the quadratic function  $f(x) = x^T A x$ In this case,

$$
\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_k \partial x_\ell} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_\ell} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n A_{\ell i} x_i \right] = 2A_{\ell k} = 2A_{k\ell}.
$$

Therefore, it should be clear that  $\nabla^2_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{x}^T A \mathsf{x} = 2A$ , which should be entirely expected (and again analogous to the single-variable fact that  $\partial^2/(\partial x^2)$  ax<sup>2</sup> = 2a).

重

 $2990$ 

# Recap

- $\nabla_x b^T x = b$
- $\nabla_x^2 b^T x = 0$
- $\nabla_x x^T A x = 2Ax$  (if A symmetric)
- $\nabla^2_x x^T A x = 2A$  (if A symmetric)

重。

 $2990$ 

Given a full rank matrix  $A\in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ , and a vector  $b\in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $b\not\in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , we want to find a vector x such that  $Ax$  is as close as possible to b, as measured by the square of the Euclidean norm  $||Ax - b||_2^2$ .

э

 $2990$ 

- Given a full rank matrix  $A\in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ , and a vector  $b\in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $b\not\in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , we want to find a vector x such that  $Ax$  is as close as possible to b, as measured by the square of the Euclidean norm  $||Ax - b||_2^2$ .
- Using the fact that  $||x||_2^2 = x^T x$ , we have

$$
||Ax - b||_2^2 = (Ax - b)^T (Ax - b) = x^T A^T A x - 2b^T A x + b^T b
$$

∍.

 $2990$ 

Given a full rank matrix  $A\in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ , and a vector  $b\in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $b\not\in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , we want to find a vector x such that  $Ax$  is as close as possible to b, as measured by the square of the Euclidean norm  $||Ax - b||_2^2$ .

• Using the fact that 
$$
||x||_2^2 = x^T x
$$
, we have

$$
||Ax - b||_2^2 = (Ax - b)^T (Ax - b) = x^T A^T A x - 2b^T A x + b^T b
$$

• Taking the gradient with respect to  $x$  we have:

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} - 2 \mathbf{b}^T A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} 2 \mathbf{b}^T A \mathbf{x} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}
$$
  
=  $2 A^T A \mathbf{x} - 2 A^T \mathbf{b}$ 

∍.

 $2990$ 

Given a full rank matrix  $A\in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ , and a vector  $b\in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $b\not\in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , we want to find a vector x such that  $Ax$  is as close as possible to b, as measured by the square of the Euclidean norm  $||Ax - b||_2^2$ .

• Using the fact that 
$$
||x||_2^2 = x^T x
$$
, we have

$$
||Ax - b||_2^2 = (Ax - b)^T (Ax - b) = x^T A^T A x - 2b^T A x + b^T b
$$

• Taking the gradient with respect to  $x$  we have:

$$
\nabla_x (x^T A^T A x - 2b^T A x + b^T b) = \nabla_x x^T A^T A x - \nabla_x 2b^T A x + \nabla_x b^T b
$$
  
=  $2A^T A x - 2A^T b$ 

 $\bullet$  Setting this last expression equal to zero and solving for x gives the normal equations

$$
x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b
$$

G.

 $2990$ 

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト