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Introduction

Deep reinforcement learning has been increasingly successful in a wide variety of tasks. Deep Mind's Deep Q-network (DQN) was able to surpass the overall performance of a professional human player in most Atari games. However, games like Seaquest, Private Eye, Montezuma's Revenge which demand a more temporally extended planning strategy still constitute a major challenge for all existing agents.

The primary difficulty for learning goal-directed behaviour in such games is that the rewards received from the environments are sparse and the state space is relatively large to explore. Thus if the agent receives some additional environment specific information to help aid its exploration, it might be able to learn robust value functions. The primary objective of our project is to explore different heuristics which can be provided to the agent along with the architectural changes that can be made to help the agent learn a long term strategy in sparse reward games.

We decided to explore sparse reward setup with respect to two environments:

1. Atari "Montezuma's Revenge"
2. A complex discrete stochastic markov decision process (MDP)

We have trained our agent using the Open AI Gym environment for Montezuma's Revenge using Asynchronous Advantage Actor Critic - Context Tree Switching (A3C - CTS) and a hierarchical Deep Q-network (DQN) architecture. Additionally we also tested the hierarchical DQN architecture on a complex discrete stochastic MDP environment.

Related Work

Most of the recent breakthroughs in Deep Reinforcement Learning were spearheaded through the seminal paper by Mnih et. al. [7] where they proposed Deep Q Network (DQN). Further details are present in the section describing the Baseline Methods.


One of the earliest works of hierarchical reinforcement learning is by Dayan and Hinton (1993) where they proposed Feudal Reinforcement learning (FRL) [2]. In FRL there are multiple levels of hierarchy within an agent. A level in the hierarchy communicates to the level below it what must be achieved, but does not specify how to do so.

A more recent work by Vezhnevets et. al. [9] introduces Feudal Networks (FuN) where each of the individual Workers are actor-learners, that learn the policy for goal provided by Manager. They propose a novel RNN design for the Manager – a dilated LSTM – which extends the longevity of the recurrent state memories and allows...
gradients to flow through large hops in time, enabling effective back-propagation through hundreds of steps.

There has also been a significant progress in nonhierarchical deep RL methods by using auxiliary losses and rewards. Houthooft et. al. [3] proposed Variational Information Maximizing Exploration (VIME), an exploration strategy based on maximization of information gain about the agent’s belief of environment dynamics. Bellemare et al. [1] have significantly advanced the state-of-the-art on Montezuma’s Revenge by using pseudo-count based auxiliary rewards for exploration, which stimulate agents to explore new parts of the state space.

### Methods

#### Baselines:

1. **Deep Q-Learning (DQN)**
   A deep Q-network [7] combines Reinforcement Learning with deep neural networks. The goal of the agent is to select actions which maximizes the cumulative future reward. The function Q is parameterized as a convolutional neural network.

   Additionally an experience replay memory is used to sample random experiences during Q-learning updates so that there is no correlation between the experiences, thereby reducing the variance of the updates.

   \[
   L(\theta_t) = E_{(s,a,r,t)}[D((r + \gamma \max_a Q(s', a', \theta_t) - Q(s, a, \theta_i))^2)]
   \]

   where D is the experience replay memory, \( \theta_t \) are the network parameters used to compute the target at iteration \( i \).

2. **Asynchronous Advantage Actor Critic (A3C)**

   Consider a function \( J(\pi) \) as a discounted reward that a policy \( \pi \) can gain, averaged over all possible starting states \( s_0 \).

   \[
   J(\pi) = E_{\rho^{s_0}}[V(s_0)]
   \]

   where \( \rho^{s_0} \) is a distribution of starting states.

   Then the gradient of \( J \) w.r.t. \( w \) is

   \[
   \nabla_w J(\pi) = E_{\rho^{s_0} \cdot a \cdot \pi(s)}[A(s, a) \cdot \nabla_w \log \pi(a|s)]
   \]

   where:

   a) \( \nabla_w \log \pi(a|s) \) is the actor: since it points in which direction the probability of taking action \( a \) in state \( s \) increases

   b) \( A(s, a) \) is the critic: it tells us the advantage of taking this action in this context compared the average. The advantage function is defined as

   \[
   A(s, a) = Q(s, a) - V(s) = r + \gamma V(s') - V(s).
   \]

   This forms the **Actor-Critic** [4] model which maintains a policy \( \pi \) and an estimate of the value function \( V \). It operates in a “forward view” and uses mix of n-steps returns to update both the policy and the value function which gives the model more stability and faster training.

   **Asynchronous Advantage Actor-Critic (A3C)** [6] is a variant of the actor-critic model where multiple actor-learners are running in parallel and are exploring different parts of the environment.

---

**Our Approaches:**

1. **Context Tree Switching (CTS)**

   CTS [8] is a Bayesian variable order Markov Model that takes a 2D image and assigns to it a probability according to the product of location-dependent L-shaped filters. The CTS density model \( \rho \) is then used to calculate pseudo-counts, \( N(x) \). \( N(x) = \rho(x) \hat{n}(x) \), where \( \hat{n}(x) \) can be thought of as a total pseudo-count computed from the model’s recoding probability \( p(x) \), the probability of \( x \) computed immediately after training on \( x \).

   Pseudo-counts provided by the density model can then be converted to exploration bonuses \( (R^+ n(s, a)) \), which incentivizes the agent to re-visit less frequently visited states and so it reduces it’s uncertainty about the environment in the absence of any direct environmental reward.

   \[
   (R^+ n(s, a)) = \frac{\beta}{N_B(s) + 0.01}
   \]

2. **Asynchronous Advantage Actor Critic with Context Tree Switching (A3C-CTS)**

   Actor-critic methods have explicit separation of policy and Q-function parameters, which leads to richer behavioral space. This very separation however, often leads to deficient exploration. In games with sparse rewards, it might be useful for the agent to explore the state-space in a better way by using intrinsic motivation, which provides the agent with an exploration bonus and guides it to explore novel states. In A3C-CTS algorithm [1], pseudo-count derived from CTS density model is used to generate an exploration bonus.
In A3C-CTS, during training the density model is updated with the states generated by following a policy. During the policy gradient step, the intrinsic rewards are computed by querying the density model and adding it to extrinsic rewards before clipping them in range [-1, 1].

3. Deep Q-Network with Context Tree Switching (DQN CTS)

In games with sparse rewards, DQN can benefit by exploring the state-space in a guided manner by revisiting novel states, and hence reducing it’s uncertainty about the environment. Similar to A3C, DQN-CTS involves use of the CTS density model during training to compute intrinsic rewards, which are then added to extrinsic rewards.

4. Hierarchical Deep Q-Network (h-DQN)

A hierarchical-DQN model (Figure 3) takes decisions over two levels of hierarchy [5]:

a) The meta-controller that looks at the raw states and produces a policy over goals by estimating the value function $Q_1(s, g; \theta_1)$ by maximizing expected future extrinsic reward

$$L_1(\theta_1) = E_{[s, g, f; s']} D_1[ (r + \gamma \max_{g'} Q_1(s', g'; \theta_1^-) - Q_1(s, g; \theta_1))^2 ]$$

where $D_1$ stores the experiences (s, g, f, s'); $f$ is the extrinsic reward from the environment, $\theta_1$ are the parameters of Q network at iteration $i$, $\theta_1^-$ are the network parameters used to compute the target at iteration $i$.

b) The actor-controller takes in states and the current goal, and produces a policy over actions by estimating the value function $Q_2(s, a_i; \theta_i, g_i)$ to solve the predicted goal. The internal critic checks if goal is reached and provides an appropriate intrinsic reward to the controller. The controller terminates either when the episode ends or when $g$ is accomplished. The meta-controller then chooses a new $g$ and the process (a - b) repeats.

$$L_2(\theta_i) = E_{[s, a, g, r, s]} D_2[ (r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_2(s', a'; \theta_i^-) - Q_2(s, a_i; \theta_i, g))^2 ]$$

where $D_2$ stores the experiences (s, a, g, r, s); $r$ is the intrinsic reward from the critic.

Results and Analysis

Baseline Results

1. Deep Q-Network (DQN)

- **Input to CNN in the DQN**: 4 consecutive 84 X 84 frames (after downscaling and grayscale conversion).
- **Hyperparameters**: RMSProp with minibatch size 64, $\epsilon \rightarrow \text{greedy}$ with $\epsilon$ annealed linearly from 1 to 0.1 over 1 million frames, replay memory size: 1M

- **Asynchronous Advantage Actor Critic (A3C)**

  - 16 parallel actor learners and a global learner.
  - Parameters of actor-learners were synchronized with the global learner after every 120K steps.
  - **Hyperparameters**: RMSProp with minibatch size 32.

Although there were 16 parallel actor learners, the worker did not learn a strategy to reach the key. Hence it did not receive any reward.

Our Approaches’ Results

1. Deep Q-Network - Context Tree Switching (DQN-CTS)

- In addition to the existing set up of DQN, CTS density heuristic was used to aid the agent with exploration bonuses to explore novel states.
- **Hyperparameters for CTS**: $\beta = 0.05$, $\eta = 0.9$, images are downsampled to 42X42
- Agent obtained a maximum reward of +100 after 5 hours

Since A3C is a stronger baseline compared to DQN, we decided to utilize our limited compute resources instead to train an agent using A3C-CTS [6] on Montezuma’s Revenge.

2. Asynchronous Advantage Actor Critic - Context Tree Switching (A3C-CTS)

- In addition to the existing set up of A3C, CTS density model heuristic was used to aid the agent with exploration bonuses to explore novel states.
- **Hyperparameters for CTS**: $\beta = 0.05$, $\eta = 0.9$, images are downsampled to 42X42
- All the workers successfully learnt to cross the first room, and few of them learnt to cross the second room as well, resulting in a maximum score of 1400.
Following are the results obtained on running A3C-CTS:

- Moves left deterministically when it chooses left action; action right only succeeds 50% of the time
- Reward = 1 when it first visits $s_6$ and then $s_1$, Reward = 0.01 for reaching $s_1$ without visiting $s_6$

Figure 7: Stochastic MDP where the reward at the terminal state $s_1$ depends on whether $s_6$ is visited or not

- **Results**
  - Hyperparameters: $\epsilon$ greedy strategy with the $\epsilon$ being annealed from 1 to 0.1 in 12,000 steps, learning rate $\alpha = 0.00025$, Loss: Huber loss (Smooth L1 Loss), RMSProp with minibatch size 128
  - Agent learnt to choose goals $s_4$, $s_5$ or $s_6$, which statistically lead the agent to visit $s_6$ before going back to $s_1$. All the figures below illustrate that the model learns to choose goals in a way so that it reaches $s_6$ more often, thus increasing the rewards and the length of an episode with time.

3. **Hierarchical framework**
   We implemented the hierarchical framework with respect to two environments:
   a) A discrete stochastic markov decision process
   b) Atari game “Montezuma’s Revenge”

**Stochastic MDP**
   - **Game Setup**
     - 6 possible states $s_1$ to $s_6$ as shown in Figure 7.
     - Start state: $s_2$, Terminal state: $s_1$.

   - **Results**
     - Atari Montezuma’s Revenge
       - **Game Setup**
         - We considered the first room of Montezuma’s revenge. Here “sub goals” are entities in the game like the middle ladder, the bottom left ladder etc.
         - We used a finite state machine (FSM) as the meta controller. Given the current state, the FSM outputs the next sub goal to be reached.
Environment: Open AI Gym
- Actor-controller is a convolutional neural network (Figure 11).
- Input to CNN: 4 consecutive 90 X 80 frames (after downscaling and grayscale conversion) along with a binary mask of the next sub goal.
- Actor controller learns a policy over the 18 possible actions to reach the sub goal from a given state

Results
- Learning rate = 0.00025, discount rate γ = 0.99, ε greedy strategy with the ε being annealed from 1 to 0.1 in 50,000 steps, Loss: Huber loss (Smooth L1 Loss), RMSProp with minibatch size 30, replay memory size: 50000
- Agent has successfully learnt to consistently reach the key at around 1200 episodes.
- We were unable to train our agent for a longer time due to limited compute resources. However due to the consistent decrease in training loss with every update (Figure 12), we feel that with sufficient training time the agent trained using this architecture will reach the door.

Future Work
In this work, we have used a finite state machine to output the sub-goals at each state for Montezuma’s revenge. We would like to use a neural network that can learn to output the correct sequence of sub-goals given the current history of the game. We would also like to extend the hierarchical DQN to other Atari games with sparse rewards like Seaquest.

Consolidated Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Avg Reward</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Max Reward</th>
<th>Min Reward</th>
<th>No. Training steps</th>
<th>Training Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DQN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.2 M</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.15 M</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3C-CTS</td>
<td>362.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.3 M</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQN-CTS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.8 M</td>
<td>5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-DQN</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.92 M</td>
<td>20 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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